

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/495,733	SCHOENE ET AL.	
	Examiner Clark F. Dexter	Art Unit 3724	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Mr. Robert Dulaney.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Clark Dexter.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_. \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 23 June 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*the rejection under 35 USC 112 to claim 56*

Claims discussed:

56

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claim 56 by simply relocating the previously-added limitation to place the claim in proper form with respect to 35 USC 112. Mr. Dexter stated that this Office action obviates applicant's need to respond to the outstanding Office action mailed on June 4, 2004.